

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,104	07/10/2003	Josef Suren	302.137	3449
47888	7590 10/24/2006		EXAMINER	
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C. 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			TRUONG, DUC	
	C, NY 10036	5	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/617,104	SUREN, JOSEF	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Duc Truong	1711	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	ldress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DISTRICT D	DATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, ma will apply and will expire SIX (6) It te, cause the application to becom	INICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this cleaned abandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			·	
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8/29</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal m		e merits is
Dienosit	ion of Claims			,
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-12 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[10)[The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The specification is objected.	cepted or b) objected e drawing(s) be held in abe ction is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[_ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received its have been received its have been the decuments have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National	Stage
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/617,104

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 8/29/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The response submitted by Applicant does not overcome the rejection made by examiner in the last office action .

Claims 1-3 and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Shimizu et al.

Claims 1-3 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Takashima et al.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons as stated in the last Office action and for the following reasons:

Applicant's arguments are based on the use in different filed in that the claims are directed to refractory technology in that the resin binder must have a good yield of carbon so that the final refractory has a good durability.

Said arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive since they are not commensurate in scope with the claims.

Note that the claims require using a condensation product produced by reacting a bisphenol residue from the production of bisphenols with an aldehyde in an acidic medium and adding at least one phenolic compound thereto., in the production of refractory articles.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,104

Art Unit: 1711

Note that the Shzumi reference does disclose a phenolic resin produced by condensing a phenol residue component, an aldehyde component and a phenol in the presence of acid catalysts (see col. 1, line 24 onto col. 2, line 22).

The reference further discloses that the phenolic resins are dissolved in high boiling organic solvents (see col. 3, lines 49-50).

The Takashima discloses the condensate of the bisphenol A cleavage residue with formaldehyde in the presence of an acid catalyst in that phenols may be added to the bisphenol A cleavage residue together with formaldehyde (see col. 5, lines 11-43), as required in the claims.

The references do disclose the requirements for the instant claims. Therefore, the use of said polycondensation product, in the production of refractory articles, must be considered inherent in the prior art unless Applicant provides evidence to show different mechanisms or different steps of the process to arrive into different field.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al.

The reference discloses a process for producing a phenolic resins in that the polycondensation product is dissolved in an organic, high boiling solvent, as stated above.

The disclosure of the reference differs from the instant claim in that it does not disclose specific solvent, which is selected from the group consisting of ethylene glycol, diethylene glycol, polyglycols and phthalates.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,104

Art Unit: 1711

However, the reference does disclose the use of a solvent such as mixture of toluene and methanol, having the same functionality with the claimed solvent, in which the claimed polycondensation product is dissolved.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the claimed solvent by the mixture of toluene and methanol sinc ethey have the same functionality in the process and thus would have been expected to provide adequate results. There is no showing of unexpected results derived from said use.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

**